

$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E	OISCOVERY (CI) AINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 7775400 DATI	E: <u>08/05/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:00</u>	DH	EPART: <u>1:35</u>		
FACILITY NAME: DIESEL EXCAVATION/J. Malever Construction Company, Inc.						
FACILITY LOCATION:	301 SAMPEY RD					
	GROVELAND 34736	5				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: tomsmithjmc@@ CONTACT NAME: Mrt Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	. Tom Smith, Co-Owner	RY MALEVER	PHONE: (352) Mobile: (352) PHONE: Mobile:)429-95)267-81		
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	esentative(s): Tom Smith, Car			(check ☑ box for each	•	
	sentative still CARY MALEV	-			□No	
	ty provide an administrative ul?				□No □No	
	ng VE test(s) during today's ince authority notified at least 1.				⊠No □No	

Emissions Unit Section 1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	•
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following? ————————————————————————————————————	☐ Yes	∐No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
b.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ v _{aa}	□ No
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	∐ Yes	No
•	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No

1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A Yes No 18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure. } and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?
instructions?
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes \[\]No \[\] Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes [No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes [No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes \[\]No \[\] Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%
Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? YesNo
If yes:
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? YesNo
recorded in the written of electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 00.070(b)?
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following
questions and go directly to Question 24.
questions and go an eetly to guestion 2 in
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo
21. Initial Tests:
41.1HHai 1030.
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?

1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	0	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emis					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	ed on each vent contr	col device within 180 days of	- / •	_ ,,	
initial startup of the EU?			/A	∐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whic					
purpose of exhausting from a building	ur carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	'.1 .1 DM.1' '.	50.05 /1 /0.022 /1.52		□ 3 7	□ N7
b. Was the EU found to be in complian				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emi	ssions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintai	n and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measure	ment of the pressure le	oss of the gas stream through th	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				Yes Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device mu	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge press	ure.}				
andb. a device for the continuous measure	ment of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	hher and the		
device has been calibrated on an				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device mu					
of design scrubbing liquid flow ra					
	•				
24. When was the last VE test conducted					
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 su	-	EU been tested within the past 5	years?	∐ Yes	∐No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart (
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet with	in the current calenda	ar year?		Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	er/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
					□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted according	g to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compli	ance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspe</i>	ector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>uisple</i>				Yes	□No
Rate:	ess rate that is represe	charive of the normal rate:		103	140
b. Was the VE test conducted according	g to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of			'		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compli				Yes	□No
r	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(
	VF Ongo	city Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO FII	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	_	ted, modifi	ed
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	· ·
	Subpart OOO	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22		1 01
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
	= - / -		1	*	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \[\] N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are uncommed chassions occurring.		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	*	only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each o	
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		No □No
c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		□No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	∏No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	[] 105	
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	box for each of the box fo	only one question) NoNoNoNo
RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	(6)] -	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operator permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	box for each	only one h question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 	location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? X Yes	□No ⊠No
 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regard days prior to the change? 	ement?	No No No No
John Vigliotti	08/05/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Facility does not have any emission sources. I	It is a vehicle/equipment holding yard.	